



Technical Oral Presentation (APSC 411) Release Form

STUDENT (Please complete this section):

Last Name: _____ First Name: _____

Discipline:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Chemical and Biological Engineering | <input type="checkbox"/> Mechanical Engineering |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Engineering | <input type="checkbox"/> Materials Engineering |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Computer Engineering | <input type="checkbox"/> Mining Engineering |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Electrical Engineering | <input type="checkbox"/> UBCO – Civil Engineering |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Engineering | <input type="checkbox"/> UBCO – Electrical Engineering |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geological Engineering | <input type="checkbox"/> UBCO – Mechanical Engineering |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated Engineering | <input type="checkbox"/> Master of Engineering_____ (disc) |

Work Term Period: Year _____ Jan. – Apr. May – Aug. Sept. – Dec.

EMPLOYER (Please complete this section):

Company Name (Please print): _____

Department: _____

Supervisor's Name: _____ Title: _____

Supervisor's Telephone Number: _____ Fax: _____

Title of Presentation: _____

- I have received the Work Term Presentation.
- The presentation is **Confidential** and will be retained by our company.
Note to employer: Confidential Evaluation of APSC 411 Technical Oral Presentation form is due two weeks after the student's Work Term Package deadline.
 - The presentation is **not Confidential** and may be submitted to the Engineering Co-op Office for marking at UBC.
- I have **not** received the Work Term Presentation.

Reason: _____

Supervisor's Signature: _____ Date: _____



Confidential Technical Oral Presentation (APSC 411) Grade Form

First Name: _____ Last Name: _____

Discipline: _____

Work Term Period: Year _____ Jan. – Apr. May – Aug. Sept. – Dec.

Company Name: _____

Report Evaluated by: _____

Structure – 20 points				Marks	<input type="text" value="/20"/>
Overall Presentation	/5	Clarity	/5		
Knowledge of audience	/5	Style	/5	Marks	<input type="text" value="/40"/>
Content – 40 points					
Suitability of Topic	/5				
Authority and accuracy	/10				
Analytical content	/10				
Thoroughness of treatment	/10				
Table/figure presentation	/5				
Expression – 40 points				Marks	<input type="text" value="/40"/>
Quality of visual aids	/ 10				
Ability to engage audience	/ 10				
Oral communication skills	/ 20				

Comments:

Presentation Grade:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Excellent – 80 to 100 points | <input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory – 50 to 64 points |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Good – 65 to 79 points | <input type="checkbox"/> Unsatisfactory – 0 to 49 points |